



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/924,753	08/08/2001	Hans-Ueli Roeck	33868	2924
116	7590 05/08/2003			
	GORDON LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 1200	OR AVENUE EAST		NGUYEN, TUAN DUC	
CLEVELAN	D, OH 44114-1484		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	W
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/924,753	ROECK, HANS-UELI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuan D. Nguyen	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  5 from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u>	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The brief description of the drawing is missing.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the mean amplification value" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
- U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

<sup>(1)</sup> an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application

Art Unit: 2643

published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

5. Claims 1-3, 5-14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent number 6,359,992 (Preves et al).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Preves et al discloses a system for implementing the method per claim 1, characterized in that a processing unit (figures 3 and 4) is provided which receives an input signal (column 5 lines 16-17 item 28) and which permits within the processing unit the determination of a threshold value (column 5 lines 25-27) ( $O_{max}$ ,  $O_{min}$ ,  $O_{TR}$ ) for the purpose of limiting the output signal, said threshold value ( $O_{max}$ ,  $O_{min}$ ,  $O_{TR}$ ) being adjustable as a function of the level of the input signal.

Regarding claims 2, and 13, Preves et al also teaches a mean level (I) can be determined by averaging (column 5 lines 5-15).

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Preves et al further teaches wherein the threshold value (O<sub>TR</sub>) can be adjusted (column 5 lines 33-44) to a point which by a differential amount (TR<sub>max</sub>) is above the mean level (I) of the input signal. Regarding claims 5 and 16, Preves et al further teaches wherein it permits a maximum threshold value (O<sub>max</sub>) to be established (column 4 lines 26-31). Regarding claims 6 and 17, Preves et al further teaches wherein the maximum threshold value (O<sub>max</sub>) is so selected as to be equal to an upper comfort level of a hearing-impaired person (column 4 lines 40-41).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Preves et al further teaches wherein it permits a minimum threshold value (O<sub>min</sub>) to be established (column 4 lines 26-31). Regarding claim 8, Preves et al further teaches characterized in that the minimum threshold value (O<sub>min</sub>) is so selected as to be equal to an output level that results from an input level of about 80 dB (column 4 lines 26-31) and the corresponding amplification at that input level that is produced for a hearing-impaired person.

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Preves et al further teaches wherein the differential amount (TR<sub>max</sub>) is adjusted along a compression ratio for a hearing-impaired person (column 8 lines 24-30).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Preves et al further teaches the application for operation of a hearing aid by a hearing-impaired person (column 3 lines 7-11).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent number 6,359,992 (Preves et al).

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Preves et al do not disclose a specific averaging function.

Art Unit: 2643

However, Preves et al discloses the averaging received signal (column 5 lines 5-15). Moreover, the formula for calculating the averaging signal is well known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention was made to use a well known averaging signal function in Preves et al's teaching.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7168. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:15-4:45. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TDN April 30, 2003

STELLA WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER